

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/624,621	07/22/2003	Darrel J. Klein	6593	
75	90 09/24/2004		EXAMINER	
James V. Harmon			ROWAN, KURT C	
Pillsbury Center Suite 2000				PAPER NUMBER
220 South Sixth Street			3643	
Minneapolis, MN 55402			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7/			
	10/624,621	KLEIN, DARREL J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kurt Rowan	3643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this con ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
<del></del>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> are subject to restriction and/or $\in$	election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTC	D-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	s have been received.	, , , ,				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	ity documents have been receiv		Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* **	red.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date	450)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal 6)  Other:	Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

Art Unit: 3643

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-9, drawn to a fishing apparatus having a fishing reel, classified in class 43, subclass 21.2.
  - II. Claims 10-12, drawn to a fishing apparatus with a receptacle, classified in class 43, subclass 54.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as holding fish or fish bait in the receptacle. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Figs. 1-5, 10 and 17, Fig. 6, Fig. 7, Fig. 8, Fig. 9, Fig. 11, Figs. 12 and 12A, Fig.13, Figs. 14-15, Fig. 16, Fig. 18, Fig. 19, Figs 20 and 24, Figs. 21-23, Figs 25-26, Figs. 27-28, Figs. 29-31, Figs. 32 and 32A, Figs. 33-35, Fig. 36, Fig. 37, Fig. 38, Fig. 39, Fig. 39A-42, Fig. 43, Fig. 44, Fig 45, Figs. 46-47.

6

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3643

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Rowan whose telephone number is 703 308-2321. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 703 308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kurt Rowan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3643

KR